

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Rhode Island

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE AND APPELLATE COVER SHEET ABBREVIATED ELECTRONIC RECORD

	Case Information
Case Caption: Montilla et at	vs. Federal National Mortgage Association
District Court Number: CV18-632	Presiding Judge: Judge Smith
Notice of Appeal filed by: Plaintiff	Notice of Appeal a [& { ^} of number: 42
Appeal from: Judgment	
Other information:	
Fee status: Paid	Pro se case: Yes No
Emergency or requires expedition: N_0	▼ If yes, reason:
	Record Information
Motions Pending Yes No	
Other record information:	
Related case@Don appealK	
	Certification
	ed States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, do certify cuments constitute the abbreviated record on appeal in the
Á Á	HANORAH TYER-WITEK
Date: 07/08/2020	/s/ Carrie L. Potter Deputy Clerk
Reset Form	Print Form Save

APPEAL.

U.S. District Court District of Rhode Island (Providence) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:18-cv-00632-WES-LDA

Montilla et al v. Federal National Mortgage Association et al

Assigned to: Chief Judge William E. Smith

Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lincoln D. Almond

Cause: 28:1331 Fed. Question

Date Filed: 11/19/2018 Date Terminated: 05/26/2020

Jury Demand: Both

Nature of Suit: 220 Real Property:

Foreclosure

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Neris Montilla represented by Todd S. Dion

On behalf of themselves and all others so

similarly situated

Law Office of Todd S. Dion Esq.

628 Park Avenue

Suite 2C

Cranston, RI 02910 401-965-4131

Email: toddsdion@msn.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

Ruben Velasquez represented by **Todd S. Dion**

(See above for address) On behalf of themselves and all others so

similarly situated

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

Roselia Montufar represented by Todd S. Dion

On behalf of themselves and all others so

similarly situated

(See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

Michael Kyriakakis represented by Todd S. Dion

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Federal National Mortgage

Association

TERMINATED: 05/15/2019

represented by Ethan Z. Tieger

Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 321 South Main Street

Suite 301

Providence, RI 02903 401-751-0842 Fax: 401-751-0072

Email: etieger@hinshawlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Samuel C. Bodurtha

Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 56 Exchange Terrace 5th Floor Providence, RI 02903 (401) 751-0842

Fax: (401) 751–0072

Email: sbodurtha@hinshawlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Alison Burton

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 617-526-6809 Fax: 617-526-5000 Email: alison.burton@wilmerhale.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Noah A. Levine

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 212-230-8875 Fax: 212-230-8888

Email: noah.levine@wilmerhale.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Federal Housing Finance Agency TERMINATED: 05/15/2019

represented by Ethan Z. Tieger

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Samuel C. Bodurtha

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Michael A.F. Johnson

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP 601 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001

(202) 942–5000 Fax: (202) 942–5999

Email: michael.johnson@apks.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Seterus, Inc.

represented by Alexandra G. Watson

Hogan Lovells US LLP 100 High Street 20th Floor Boston, MA 02110

617–371–1026 Fax: 617–371–1037

Email: alexandra.bailey@hoganlovells.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Allison J Schoenthal

Hogan Lovells US LLP 390 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017 212–918–3647

Fax: 212-918-3100

Email: allison.schoenthal@hoganlovells.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Chava Brandriss

Hogan Lovells US LLP 555 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 202-637-6558

Fax: 202–637–5910

Email: chava.brandriss@hoganlovells.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

C.I.T. Bank, N.A.

represented by **Jeffrey L. Levy**

Levy & Blackman LLP 469 Angell Street Suite 2

Providence, RI 02906 401–437–6900

Email: jlevy@levyblackman.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Samuel C. Bodurtha

(See above for address) *TERMINATED: 01/04/2019*

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Julia B. Strickland

Strook & Strooke & Lavan LLP 2029 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067–3086 310–556–5806 Fax: 310–556–5959 Email: jstrickland@stroock.com PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Raymond A. Garcia

Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038–4982 212–806–5400 Fax: 212–806–6006 Email: rgarcia@stroock.com

PRO HAC VICE ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Mr. Cooper TERMINATED: 05/30/2019 formerly known as Nationstar Mortgage, LLC TERMINATED: 05/30/2019

represented by Joseph A. Farside, Jr.

Locke Lord LLP
2800 Financial Plaza
Providence, RI 02903
401–274–9200
Fax: 401–276–6111
Email: joseph.farside@lockelord.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey C. Ankrom

Locke Lord LLP 2800 Financial Plaza Providence, RI 02903 401–274–9200 Fax: 401–276–6611 Email: jeffrey.ankrom@lockelord.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Krystle Guillory Tadesse

Locke Lord LLP 2800 Financial Plaza Providence, RI 02903 401–528–5873

Fax: 888-325-9231

Email: <u>krystle.tadesse@lockelord.com</u> *ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

Date Filed	#	Page	Docket Text	
11/19/2018	1		COMPLAINT (filing fee paid \$ 400.00, receipt number 0103–1289449), filed by Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar, Ruben Velasquez. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit Exhibit 9, # 10 Civil Cover Sheet Civil Cover Sheet, # 11 Summons, # 12 Summons, # 13 Summons, # 14 Summons)(Dion, Todd) (Entered: 11/19/2018)	
11/20/2018			Case assigned to Chief Judge William E. Smith and Magistrate Judge Lincoln D. Almond. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 11/20/2018)	
11/20/2018	2		CASE OPENING NOTICE ISSUED (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 11/20/2018)	
11/20/2018	<u>3</u>		Summons Issued as to C.I.T. Bank, N.A., Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association, Seterus, Inc (Attachments: # 1 Summons, # 2 Summons, # 3 Summons)(Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 11/20/2018)	
12/07/2018	4		AMENDED COMPLAINT against All Defendants, filed by Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar, Ruben Velasquez. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit Exhibit 9, # 10 Summons Mr. Cooper)(Dion, Todd) (Entered: 12/07/2018)	
12/10/2018	<u>5</u>		Summons Request filed by Michael Kyriakakis, Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar, Ruben Velasquez. (Potter, Carrie) (Main Document 5 replaced on 12/10/2018) (Potter, Carrie). (Main Document 5 replaced on 12/10/2018) (Potter, Carrie). (Entered: 12/10/2018)	
12/10/2018	<u>6</u>		Summons Issued as to Mr. Cooper. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 12/10/2018)	
01/03/2019	7		STIPULATION re <u>4</u> Amended Complaint, <i>Extending Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Class Action Complaint</i> filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association. (Bodurtha, Samuel) (Entered: 01/03/2019)	
01/04/2019	8		NOTICE of Appearance by Samuel C. Bodurtha on behalf of C.I.T. Bank, N.A., Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association (Bodurtha, Samuel) (Entered: 01/04/2019)	
01/04/2019	9		NOTICE by Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association of Withdrawal of Appearance of CIT Bank, N.A. (Bodurtha, Samuel) (Entered: 01/04/2019)	
01/07/2019	<u>10</u>		STIPULATION re <u>7</u> Stipulation, <u>4</u> Amended Complaint, filed by Neris Montilla. (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 01/07/2019)	
01/08/2019	11		NOTICE of Appearance by Alexandra G. Watson on behalf of Seterus, Inc. (Watson, Alexandra) (Entered: 01/08/2019)	
01/10/2019	<u>12</u>		MOTION for Allison Schoenthal to Appear Pro Hac Vice (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1310090) filed by Seterus, Inc (Watson, Alexandra) (Entered: 01/10/2019)	

01/10/2019		TEXT ORDER granting 12 Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Allison J Schoenthal. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/10/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/10/2019)	
01/11/2019	13	MOTION for Chava Brandriss to Appear Pro Hac Vice (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1310447) filed by Seterus, Inc (Watson, Alexandra) (Entered: 01/11/2019)	
01/11/2019		TEXT ORDER granting 13 Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Chava Brandriss. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/11/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/11/2019)	
01/16/2019	14	MOTION for Michael A.F. Johnson to Appear Pro Hac Vice <i>as counsel for Federal Housing Finance Agency</i> (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1311912) filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency. (Attachments: # 1 Attorney Admissions List)(Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 01/16/2019)	
01/16/2019		TEXT ORDER granting 14 Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Michael A.F. Johnson. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/16/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/16/2019)	
01/17/2019	<u>15</u>	MOTION for Alison Burton to Appear Pro Hac Vice <i>as counsel for Federal National Mortgage Association</i> (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1312476) filed by Federal National Mortgage Association. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
01/17/2019		TEXT ORDER granting 15 Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Alison Burton. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/17/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
01/17/2019	<u>16</u>	MOTION for Noah A. Levine to Appear Pro Hac Vice as counsel for Federal National Mortgage Association (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1312764) filed by Federal National Mortgage Association. (Attachments: # 1 Admissions List)(Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
01/18/2019		TEXT ORDER granting <u>16</u> Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Noah A. Levine. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/18/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/18/2019)	
01/23/2019	17	MOTION for Raymond A. Garcia to Appear Pro Hac Vice (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1314234) filed by C.I.T. Bank, N.A (Levy, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/23/2019)	
01/23/2019	18	MOTION for Julia B. Strickland to Appear Pro Hac Vice (filing fee paid \$ 100.00, receipt number 0103–1314241) filed by C.I.T. Bank, N.A (Levy, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/23/2019)	
01/23/2019		TEXT ORDER granting <u>17</u> Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Raymond A. Garcia. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/23/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/23/2019)	
01/23/2019		TEXT ORDER granting 18 Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice of Julia B. Strickland. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 1/23/2019. (Potter, Carrie) (Entered: 01/23/2019)	
01/29/2019	19		

		STIPULATION re 4 Amended Complaint, Extending Time To Respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Class Action Complaint filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 01/29/2019)		
02/14/2019		TEXT ORDER entering 19 Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond up to and including 2/19/19 filed by Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Housing Finance Agency. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 2/14/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 02/14/2019)		
02/19/2019	20	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency. Responses due by 3/5/2019. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 02/19/2019)		
02/19/2019	21	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT by Federal Housing Finance Agency in support of 20 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM . (Attachments: # 1 Appendix)(Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 02/19/2019)		
02/19/2019	22	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM filed by Federal National Mortgage Association. Responses due by 3/5/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Supporting Memorandum, # 2 Declaration, # 3 Exhibit A, # 4 Exhibit B, # 5 Exhibit C, # 6 Exhibit D, # 7 Exhibit E, # 8 Exhibit F, # 9 Exhibit G, # 10 Exhibit H, # 11 Exhibit I)(Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 02/19/2019)		
02/19/2019	23	NOTICE by C.I.T. Bank, N.A. re <u>20</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>22</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>21</u> Memorandum in Support <i>Notice of Joinder</i> (Levy, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/19/2019)		
02/19/2019	24	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM filed by Seterus, Inc Responses due by 3/5/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Supporting Memorandum)(Watson, Alexandra) (Entered: 02/19/2019)		
02/20/2019	25	NOTICE of Appearance by Joseph A. Farside, Jr. on behalf of Mr. Cooper (Farside, Joseph) (Entered: 02/20/2019)		
02/20/2019	26	NOTICE of Appearance by Krystle Guillory Tadesse on behalf of Mr. Cooper (Tadesse, Krystle) (Entered: 02/20/2019)		
02/20/2019	27	NOTICE of Appearance by Jeffrey C. Ankrom on behalf of Mr. Cooper (Ankrom, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/20/2019)		
03/04/2019	28	MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>20</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>24</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>22</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>23</u> Notice (Other) filed by Michael Kyriakakis, Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar. Responses due by 3/18/2019. (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 03/04/2019)		
03/21/2019		TEXT ORDER granting <u>28</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply re <u>24</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>20</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>22</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM. <u>Responses due by 4/5/2019</u> . So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 3/21/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 03/21/2019)		

03/21/2019	<u>29</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Seterus, Inc (Watson, Alexandra) (Entered: 03/21/2019)		
04/05/2019	30	RESPONSE In Opposition to 20 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, 24 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, 22 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM WITH SUPPORTING MEMO filed by Michael Kyriakakis, Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar. Replies due by 4/12/2019. (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 04/05/2019)		
04/05/2019	31	RESPONSE In Opposition to <u>20</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>24</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, <u>22</u> MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM <i>WITH SUPPORTING MEMO</i> filed by Michael Kyriakakis, Neris Montilla, Roselia Montufar. Replies due by 4/12/2019. (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 04/05/2019)		
04/10/2019	32	STIPULATION Extending Time to Reply to Plaintiffs' Oppositions to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal National Mortgage Association. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 04/10/2019)		
04/11/2019		TEXT ORDER Entering 32 Stipulation Extending Time to Reply to Plaintiffs' Oppositions to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss up to and including 5/13/19. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 4/11/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 04/11/2019)		
05/01/2019	33	NOTICE of Voluntary Dismissal by Roselia Montufar (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 05/01/2019)		
05/07/2019		TEXT ORDER Entering <u>33</u> Notice of Voluntary Dismissal filed by Roselia Montufar as to Defendant Seterus, Inc. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 5/7/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 05/07/2019)		
05/13/2019	34	NOTICE of Voluntary Dismissal by Roselia Montufar (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 05/13/2019)		
05/13/2019	35	REPLY to Response re <u>30</u> Response to Motion, in Support Defendant Federal Housing Finance Agency's Motion to Dismiss Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) filed by Federal Housing Finance Agency. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 05/13/2019)		
05/13/2019	36	REPLY to Response re <u>31</u> Response to Motion, in Support of Motion to Dismis of Defendant Federal National Mortgage Association filed by Federal National Mortgage Association. (Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 05/13/2019)		
05/13/2019	37	DECLARATION re <u>36</u> Reply to Response in Support of Defendant Federal National Mortgage Association's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss by Federal National Mortgage Association. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit J)(Tieger, Ethan) (Entered: 05/13/2019)		
05/13/2019	38	NOTICE by C.I.T. Bank, N.A. re <u>36</u> Reply to Response, <u>35</u> Reply to Response <i>Notice of Joinder</i> (Levy, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/13/2019)		
05/15/2019		TEXT ORDER Entering <u>33</u> Notice of Voluntary Dismissal as to certain defendants filed by Roselia Montufar. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 5/15/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 05/15/2019)		

05/29/2019	<u>39</u>		NOTICE of Voluntary Dismissal by Michael Kyriakakis (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 05/29/2019)		
05/30/2019			TEXT ORDER Entering 39 Notice of Voluntary Dismissal as to Defendant Nationstar Mortgage d/b/a Mr. Cooper filed by Michael Kyriakakis. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 5/30/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 05/30/2019)		
09/30/2019			TEXT ORDER denying as moot <u>24</u> Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim: The Court DENIES as MOOT Seterus, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, ECF No. 24, as all claims against this Defendant have been voluntarily dismissed with prejudice, ECF No. 33. So Ordered by Chief Judge William E. Smith on 9/30/2019. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 09/30/2019)		
05/26/2020	<u>40</u>	13	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER granting <u>20</u> Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim; granting <u>22</u> Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 5/26/2020. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 05/26/2020)		
06/09/2020	41	12	JUDGMENT. So Ordered by Deputy Clerk: Ryan H. Jackson on 6/9/2020. (Jackson, Ryan) (Entered: 06/09/2020)		
07/08/2020	42	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL by Michael Kyriakakis, Neris Montilla as to 41 Judgment (filing fee paid \$ 505.00, receipt number 0103–1526246) NOTICE TO COUNSEL: Counsel should register for a First Circuit CM/ECF Appellate Filer Account at http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/cmecf/ . Counsel should also review the First Circuit requirements for electronic filing by visiting the CM/ECF Information section at		
			http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/cmecf Appeal Record due by 7/15/2020. (Dion, Todd) (Entered: 07/08/2020)		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

NERIS MONTILLA, RUBEN VELASQUEZ,)
ROSELIA MONTUFAR, MICHAEL)
KYRIAKAKIS, On behalf of themselves and)
all others so similarly situated,)
•) C.A. NO. 1:18-CV-00632
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE)
ASSOCIATION, FEDERAL HOUSING)
FINANCE AGENCY, SETERUS, INC., C.I.T.)
BANK, N.A., MR. COOPER F/K/A)
NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC,)
, ,)
Defendants.)
J)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiffs in the above case hereby appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit from an order and judgment granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim entered on June 9, 2020.

Dated: July 8, 2020

Respectfully submitted Plaintiffs
By their attorney,

/s/ Todd S. Dion
Todd S. Dion, Esq.(6852)
15 Cottage Avenue, Ste 202
Quincy, MA 02169
401-965-4131 Phone
toddsdion@msn.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 8, 2020, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal was served electronically to all registered participants through the CM/ECF system and will be mailed via 1st class mail to any non-participants.

/s/ Todd S. Dion Todd S. Dion

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

NERIS	MONTILLA,	et	al.
	Plaintiffs	,	

V.

C.A. No. 18-632 WES

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, et al ,
Defendants.

JUDGMENT

[] Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

[X] Decision by the Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

Judgment hereby enters pursuant to the Memorandum and Order entered on May $26 \, \mathrm{th}$, 2020 by this Court.

Enter:

/s/ Ryan H. Jackson

Deputy Clerk

Dated: June 9th, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

NERIS MONTILLA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

C.A. No. 18-632 WES

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE

ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WILLIAM E. SMITH, District Judge.

Before the Court is Defendant Federal Housing Finance Agency's ("FHFA") and Federal National Mortgage Association's ("Fannie Mae") Motions to Dismiss, ECF Nos. 20, 22. For the reasons set forth below, both Motions are GRANTED.

I. Background

This dispute involves a putative class action against Defendants FHFA, Fannie Mae, and C.I.T. Bank, N.A. ("C.I.T.") (collectively, "Defendants") for alleged wrongful foreclosure of Plaintiffs Neris Montilla's and Michael Kyriakakis's properties. Am. Compl. ¶ 1, ECF No. 4. The relevant facts, as detailed in the Amended Complaint, are as follows.

The Amended Complaint initially named two other plaintiffs
Ruben Velasquez and Roselia Montufar — and two other defendants
Seterus, Inc. and Mr. Cooper (formerly known as "Nationstar

On July 24, 2008, Montilla executed a mortgage in favor of Financial Freedom Senior Funding Corporation on a property in Providence to secure a promissory note in the amount of \$427,500.

Id. ¶ 53. That mortgage was later assigned to Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") in 2009, and then ultimately assigned to its current holder, Fannie Mae, on April 20, 2015.

Id. ¶ 54-55. On September 10, 2016, following Plaintiff's alleged default, C.I.T., in its capacity as servicer of the loan for Fannie Mae, sent Montilla a "Notice of Intent to Foreclose and Mortgagee's Foreclosure Sale" ("Montilla Foreclosure Notice") noting a scheduled sale date of October 14, 2016. Id. ¶ 56. C.I.T. conducted a foreclosure sale on that date, at which time the property was sold to Fannie Mae for \$160,000. Id. ¶ 57.

Separately, in April of 2013, Kyriakakis executed a mortgage on his Cranston, Rhode Island property in favor of One West Bank, FSB as Lender and MERS as mortgagee to secure a promissory note in the amount of \$239,750. <u>Id.</u> \P 73-74. The mortgage was assigned to Nationstar Mortgage, LLC (now known as "Mr. Cooper"), and later

Mortgage, LLC"). See Am. Compl. $\P\P$ 15, 19, 21. Plaintiffs Velasquez and Montufar voluntarily dismissed all of their claims in the action. See Notice of Voluntary Dismissal as to Seterus, Inc., ECF No. 33; Notice of Voluntary Dismissal, ECF No. 34. Seterus, Inc.'s alleged wrongdoings relate only to those two Plaintiffs, so it was dismissed from the action entirely. See Am. Compl. $\P\P$ 66-67. Defendant Mr. Cooper was also voluntarily dismissed from the case. See Notice of Voluntary Dismissal as to Nationstar Mortgage, LLC, ECF No. 39.

to Fannie Mae. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 75-76. Following assignment to Fannie Mae, Mr. Cooper remained the servicer of the mortgage. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 76. In that capacity, Mr. Cooper sent a Notice of Intent to Foreclose and Mortgagee's Foreclosure Sale ("Kyriakakis Foreclosure Notice") to Kyriakakis on November 21, 2017. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 77. The property was sold to mortgagee Fannie Mae at a foreclosure sale on December 26, 2017 for \$216,885.13. Id. ¶ 78.

Plaintiffs filed a complaint in this Court on November 19, 2018 and subsequently amended their complaint on December 7, 2018.

See Compl., ECF No. 1; see also Am. Compl. Both Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, allege that Defendants violated the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment insofar as they conducted the foreclosure proceedings "without first providing adequate notice, a meaningful hearing prior to the deprivation of property, and an opportunity to recover adequate damages." Am. Compl. ¶¶ 58, 79. Defendants Fannie Mae and FHFA filed the instant Motions to Dismiss on February 19, 2019.

See FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), ECF No. 20; see also Fannie Mae's Mot. to Dismiss, ECF No. 22. Defendant C.I.T. joins both Motions. See Notice by C.I.T. Bank, N.A. 1, ECF No. 23.

II. Legal Standard

When reviewing a motion to dismiss, the Court must "accept the well-pleaded facts as true, viewing factual allegations in the

light most favorable to the plaintiff." Rederford v. U.S. Airways, Inc., 589 F.3d 30, 35 (1st Cir. 2009). "To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'" Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)).

III. Discussion

Defendants argue that Fannie Mae and FHFA (and C.I.T., as an agent of those entities) are not government actors for purposes of Plaintiffs' Fifth Amendment due process claims. Mem. of Law in Supp. of Def. FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)("FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss") 5, ECF No. 21; see Mem. in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss of Def. Fannie Mae 10, ECF No. 22-1 (joining FHFA's government actor argument). Plaintiffs, primarily relying on Chief Judge McConnell's decision in Sisti v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, 324 F. Supp. 3d 273 (D.R.I. 2018), contend that both entities are government actors and therefore subject to these constitutional claims. Mem. of Law in Supp. of Pls.' Opp'n to

² Defendants further aver that even if Fannie Mae and FHFA could be considered government actors, Plaintiffs' due process claims fail on the merits. Mem. in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss of Def. Fannie Mae 1, ECF No. 22-1. The Court need not reach this alternative argument because, as discussed <u>infra</u>, it agrees that Fannie Mae, FHFA, and C.I.T as Fannie Mae's agent are not government actors for purposes of Plaintiffs' constitutional claims.

Def. FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim ("Pls.' Opp'n to FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss") 3-4, ECF No. 30.

Fannie Mae is a government-sponsored enterprise created by Congress in the wake of the Great Depression to provide support for the residential mortgage market. See Jacobs v. Fed. Hous. Fin. Agency, 908 F.3d 884, 887 (3d Cir. 2018). Despite its birth by federal charter, Fannie Mae is a private, publicly traded corporation. See Town of Johnston v. Fed. Hous. Fin. Agency, 765 F.3d 80, 82 (1st Cir. 2014). In 2008, Congress passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act, creating the FHFA and empowering it to act as conservator of Fannie Mae "for the purpose of reorganizing, rehabilitating, or winding up [] affairs", which right it exercised in September 2008. Id.; see 12 U.S.C. § 4617(a)(2); 12 U.S.C. § 4511; see also Am. Compl. ¶ 23-25. As conservator, FHFA succeeded to "all rights, titles, powers, and privileges" of Fannie Mae and its stockholders, board of directors, and officers. See 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(2)(A).

Because a defendant cannot be held liable under the Fifth Amendment unless it is deemed a federal actor, <u>Martinez-Rivera v. Sanchez Ramos</u>, 498 F.3d 3, 8-9 (1st Cir. 2007), the Court must determine whether Fannie Mae and FHFA are government actors for purposes of Plaintiffs' constitutional claims.

A. Fannie Mae's Status as a Government Actor

To determine whether Fannie Mae is a government actor, the Court looks to the tripartite test in Lebron v. National Railroad Passenger Corp., 513 U.S. 374 (1995). Lebron instructs that a corporation "is part of the Government" for purposes of constitutional claims where "[(1)] the Government creates a corporation by special law, [(2)] for the furtherance of governmental objectives, and [(3)] retains for itself permanent authority to appoint a majority of the directors of that corporation." Lebron, 513 U.S. at 399. In Department of Transportation v. Association of American Railroads, 575 U.S. 43, 55 (2015), the Supreme Court reaffirmed Lebron, adding that the "practical reality of federal control and supervision prevails over Congress' disclaimer of . . . governmental status." Because there is no dispute that the first two factors have been met, the Court need only resolve the third question of the Lebron test here, and answer whether the federal government exercises permanent control over Fannie Mae.

Plaintiffs argue that FHFA's indefinite conservatorship amounts to permanent control over Fannie Mae. See Pls.' Opp'n to FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss 5-6. In support of this argument, Plaintiffs rely on Chief Judge McConnell's reasoning in Sisti, where he concluded that "[t]he practical reality . . . is that the government effectively controls Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

permanently" because the government appoints the members of Fannie Mae's boards of directors, exercises total operational control, owns all of Fannie Mae's senior preferred stock, and warrants to purchase a majority of the common stock. <u>Sisti</u>, 324 F. Supp. 3d at 280; <u>see Pls.' Opp'n to FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss 8-10</u>. The court also found it to be critical that "the decision to end the conservatorship is left entirely to the discretion of the government". Sisti, 324 F. Supp. 3d at 280.

The majority of courts to consider this question have taken the opposite position - that Fannie Mae is not a government actor for purposes of constitutional claims. See, e.g., Rubin v. Fannie Mae, 587 F. App'x 273, 275 (6th Cir. 2014) (holding that "following FHFA's conservatorship, Fannie Mae is not a state actor" and recognizing that every district court up to that point had reached the same conclusion); see also Dean v. Crosscountry Mortg., Inc., No. 4:18-CV-705, 2019 WL 6271042, at *3 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2019) ("[0] ther courts carefully have considered, and rejected [the] argument that the conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in 2008 transformed those entities into government actors.") (internal citation omitted); FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss Ex. A (listing cases), ECF No. 21-1. In Herron v. Fannie Mae, 861 F.3d 160, 169 (D.C. Cir. 2017), the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals, affirming the district court's ruling, examined the statute empowering FHFA to become Fannie Mae's conservator, finding that "the purpose of the

conservatorship is to restore Fannie Mae to a stable condition", which is "an inherently temporary purpose". Herron, 861 F.3d at 169 (quoting Rubin, 587 Fed. Appx. at 275); see 12 U.S.C. § 4617(a) & (b)(2)(D). The court concluded, "[t]hus, the government's indefinite but temporary control does not transform Fannie Mae into a government actor." Herron, 861 F.3d at 169.

While the Court finds Judge McConnell's analysis in <u>Sisti</u> to be well-reasoned and sensible, it ultimately sides with the majority of courts to have considered the issue. Although the "conservatorship authorized the government to exercise substantial control over Fannie Mae, 'that control is temporary . . .'"⁴ <u>Herron</u>, 861 F.3d at 169 (quoting <u>Meridian Invs. v. Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp.</u>, 855 F.3d 573, 579 (4th Cir. 2017)); <u>see also Herron</u> v. Fannie Mae, 857 F. Supp. 2d 87, 96 (D.D.C. 2012) ("Because

Sisti v. Fannie Mae, finding that the enabling statute acts as a Congressional disclaimer of the government's permanent control of Fannie Mae, and as such it could not be relied upon to determine that the government exercised temporary control. 324 F. Supp. 3d 274, 280 (D.R.I. 2018). Rather, he concluded that, despite not being an explicit statutory disclaimer of government control, the statute's "language still has the same effect — under Lebron, 'permanent' government control is required, and here Congress is disclaiming permanent control." Id. (quoting Lebron, 513 U.S. at 400).

Indeed, there are signs that FHFA's conservatorship may soon end. See <u>Fairholme Funds</u>, Inc v. United States, 147 Fed. Cl. 1, 19-21, 33 (Fed. Cl. 2019) (taking judicial notice of statements by the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury and FHFA Director suggesting they are "committed to ending the conservatorships").

conservatorship is by nature temporary, the government has not acceded to permanent control over the entity and Fannie Mae remains a private corporation."). Accordingly, because the government does not exercise permanent control over Fannie Mae, it is not a government actor for purposes of Plaintiffs' constitutional challenge.

B. FHFA's Status as a Government Actor

As for the FHFA, there is no question that it is a government agency. See 12 U.S.C. § 4511. Defendants contend, however, that the FHFA is not subject to Plaintiffs' Fifth Amendment claims because it assumes Fannie Mae's private status while acting as its conservator. See FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss 15-21. This is so, Defendants argue, because "as Conservator, FHFA does not perform any function unique to the federal government when it exercises powers inherited from [Fannie Mae]." Id. at 16. Plaintiffs respond against to say that the "practical reality" is that the FHFA is a government actor. See Pls.' Opp'n to FHFA's Mot. to Dismiss 7-8 (citing Ass'n of Am. R.R., 575 U.S. at 55). Furthermore, relying on Sisti, Plaintiffs challenge Defendant's contention that the FHFA, as conservator, takes on Fannie Mae's private status. Id. at 12-15.

On this question, too, the Court sides with the majority of courts to have found that the FHFA is not a government actor in its capacity as conservator to Fannie Mae. See, e.g., Herron, 861

F.3d at 169; see also Parra v. Fed. Nat'l Mortg. Ass'n, No. CV 13-4031 FMO (SHx), 2013 WL 5638824, at *3 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2013) ("[T]he FHFA, which took over as Fannie Mae's conservator, also does not qualify as a government actor."). Here, the FHFA's power to foreclose is a contractual right inherited from Fannie Mae by virtue of its conservatorship. See 12 U.S.C. § 4617(b)(2)(A)("The Agency shall, as conservator or receiver, and by operation of law, immediately succeed to - all rights, titles, powers, and privileges of the regulated entity."). When acting as conservator, the FHFA "steps into [Fannie Mae's] shoes, shedding its government character and also becoming a private party." Meridian Invs., Inc., 855 F.3d at 579; see Herron, 861 F.3d at 169 ("[W]hile the FHFA's status changed, the status of Fannie Mae, as the 'shoes' into which FHFA stepped, did not."); see also O'Melveny & Meyers v. F.D.I.C, 512 U.S. 79, 86 (1994) (finding that similar statutory language "appears to indicate that the FDIC as receiver 'steps into the shoes' of the failed [entity]" for purposes of state tort claims) (internal citations omitted). Accordingly, because the FHFA is similarly not subject to Plaintiffs' Fifth Amendment claims, those claims cannot proceed.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, ECF Nos. 20 and 22, are GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

William E. Smith
District Judge

Date: May 26, 2020